DEFT OF FINANCIAL

1102 6 - VON

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

In the Matter of the Unlicensed Activity of:

No. 12F-BD027-BNK

INNOVATIVE COLLECTION CONCEPTS, INC d/b/a NATIONAL CHILD SUPPORT and MICHAEL HIGGINS, CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

CONSENT ORDER

11353 Reed Hartman Highway, Suite #100 Cincinnati, OH 45241

Respondents.

7

8

9

10

11

1

2

3

4

5

6

On October 7, 2011, the Arizona Department of Financial Institutions ("Department") issued an Order to Cease and Desist, Notice of Opportunity For Hearing, and Consent To Entry of Order, alleging that Respondents had violated Arizona law. Wishing to resolve this matter in lieu of an administrative hearing and without admitting liability, Respondents consent to the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and consent to the entry of the following Order.

13

14

12

FINDINGS OF FACT

Respondent Innovative Collection Concepts, Inc., dba National Child Support

15 16 ("NCS") is an Ohio corporation operating as a collection agency, who is not and was not, at any time material herein, authorized to transact business in Arizona as a collection agency within the meaning

17

of A.R.S. § 32-1001 et seq. The nature of NCS's business is that of a collection agency within the

18

meaning of A.R.S. § 32-1001(2).

19

20

2. Respondent Michael Higgins ("Mr. Higgins") is the Chief Executive Officer of NCS and is not authorized to transact business in Arizona as a collection agency within the meaning of

21

A.R.S. § 32-1001 et seq.

1.

22

3. NCS and Mr. Higgins are not exempt from licensure as a collection agency within the meaning of A.R.S. § 32-1004.

23 24

4. A.R.S. § 32-1001(2) defines "collection agency" as any person who "engage[s]

25

directly or indirectly in soliciting claims for collection or in collection of claims owed, due or asserted to be owed or due." A.R.S. § 32-1001(2) defines the term "claim," in general, as "an

26

obligation for the payment of money or its equivalent and a sum or sums owed, due or asserted to be owed or due to another, for which a person is employed to demand payment and collect or enforce such payment." See also A.A.C. Rule 20-4-1501 (defining the term "debt").

- 5. On August 19, 2011, the Department received a consumer complaint from a Phoenix resident ("Complainant"), alleging that NCS is conducting collections activity against him in the state of Arizona without a license,² and requesting that NCS be ordered to cease and desist all collection agency activity against him.
- 6. Complainant provided the Department with several letters from NCS dated November 1, 2010, November 19, 2010, and November 27, 2010, showing that NCS engaged in collection agency activity. NCS informed Complainant, among other things, that if the delinquent court-ordered child support was not paid, the case would be "prosecuted without further notice, which may result in further collection or legal action." NCS also suggested that if the case was not settled voluntarily, the Complainant would have to pay attorneys' fees, stating that it "normally gets these attorneys fees awarded when a case goes to court."
 - 7. These Findings of Fact shall also serve as Conclusions of Law.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. Pursuant to A.R.S. Title 6, and Title 32, Chapter 9, the Superintendent has the authority and the duty to regulate all persons engaged in the collection agency business and with the

¹ The term "obligation" has been broadly construed under Arizona law to include an agreement or acknowledgement of liability to pay a certain amount whether the duty is imposed by law, contract or social relations. *See State v. Taylor*, 223 Ariz. 486, 488-89, 224 P.3d 983, 985-86 (App. 2010).

² Upon information and belief, Complainant reported that NCS signed an agreement with his ex-wife, pursuant to which NCS is entitled to 50% of any payment received form Complainant. NCS's website likewise states that NCS "only get[s] paid if [NCS] can collect child support," see http://www.nationalchildsupport.com, which indicates that NCS is not collecting its own debt having purchased a debt obligations, but is rather undertaking collections on behalf of third parties.

·

 enforcement of statutes, rules, and regulations relating to collection agencies.

- 2. By the conduct set forth in the Findings of Fact, NCS and Mr. Higgins violated A.R.S. § 32-1055(A), by conducting a collection agency in this state, within the meaning of A.R.S. § 32-1001(2), without having first applied for and obtained a license.
- 3. NCS and Mr. Higgins do not meet any of the exemptions to the licensing requirements set forth in A.R.S. § 32-1004(A).
- 4. The violations, set forth above, constitute grounds for: (1) the issuance of an order pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-137 directing Respondents to cease and desist from the violative conduct and to take the appropriate affirmative actions, within a reasonable period of time prescribed by the Superintendent, to correct the conditions resulting from the unlawful acts, practices, and transactions; (2) the imposition of a civil monetary penalty pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-132; (3) the suspension or revocation of Respondents' license pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1053; and (4) an order or any other remedy necessary or proper for the enforcement of statutes and rules regulating collection agencies pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 6-123 and 6-131.

ORDER

- 1. NCS and Mr. Higgins shall immediately stop the violations set forth in the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law. Specifically, NCS and Mr. Higgins shall immediately stop engaging in activities of a collection agency without having first applied for and obtained a license.
- 2. Respondents shall immediately pay to the Department a civil money penalty in the amount of seven thousand five hundred dollars (\$7,500.00).
- 3. Petitioners shall comply with all Arizona statutes and rules regulating Arizona collection agencies (A.R.S. §§ 32-1001, et seq.).
- 4. The provisions of this Order shall be binding upon Respondents, their employees, agents, and other persons participating in the conduct of the affairs of Respondents.
 - 5. This Order shall become effective upon service, and shall remain effective and

| 2 |
|----|
| 3 |
| 4 |
| 5 |
| 6 |
| 7 |
| 8 |
| 9 |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 18 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 21 |
| 22 |
| 23 |
| 24 |
| 25 |
| 26 |

enforceable until such time as, and except to the extent that, it shall be stayed, modified, terminated, or set aside.

SO ORDERED this // day of work bor, 2011.

Lauren W. Kingry Superintendent of Financial Institutions

Robert D. Charlton

Assistant Superintendent of Financial Institutions

CONSENT TO ENTRY OF ORDER

- 1. Respondents acknowledge that they have been served with a copy of the foregoing Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order in the above-referenced matter, have read the same, are aware of their right to an administrative hearing in this matter, and have waived the same.
- 2. Respondents admit the jurisdiction of the Superintendent and consent to the entry of the foregoing Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order.
- 3. Respondents state that no promise of any kind or nature has been made to induce them to consent to the entry of this Order, and that they have done so voluntarily.
- 4. Respondents agree to cease from engaging in the violative conduct set forth above in the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law.
- 5. Respondents acknowledge that the acceptance of this Agreement by the Superintendent is solely to settle this matter and does not preclude this Department, any other agency or officer of this state or subdivision thereof from instituting other proceedings as may be appropriate now or in the future.
- 6. Michael Higgins, Chief Executive Officer, on behalf of Innovative Collection Concepts, Inc., dba National Child Support ("NCS") and himself, represents that he is the CEO and that, as such, has been authorized by NCS to consent to the entry of this Order on its behalf.

| 1 | 7. Respondents waive all rights to seek judicial review or otherwise to challenge or contest | | |
|------------------------|---|--|--|
| 2 | the validity of this Order. | | |
| 3 | DATED this 4 TH day of NOVEMBER , 2011. | | |
| 4 | and Add. | | |
| 5 | By: Micked . Higgins | | |
| 6 | Michael Higgins, Chief Executive Officer Innovative Collection Concepts, Inc., dba National Child Support | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed this 15th day of November, 2011, in the office of: | | |
| 9 | Lauren W. Kingry, Superintendent of Financial Institutions Arizona Department of Financial Institutions | | |
| 10 ATTN: June Beckwith | ATTN: June Beckwith 2910 N. 44th Street, Suite 310 | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | COPY mailed/delivered same date to: | | |
| 13 | Natalia A. Garrett, Assistant Attorney General | | |
| 14 | Phoenix, AZ 85007 | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | Robert D. Charlton, Assistant Superintendent Lori Mann, Senior Examiner | | |
| 17 | ATTN: Sabrina Hampton Arizona Department of Financial Institutions 2910 N. 44th Street, Suite 310 Phoenix, AZ 85018 | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | AND COPY MAILED SAME DATE by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, to: | | |
| 20 | Innovative Collection Concepts, Inc., | | |
| 21 | dba National Child Support ATTN: Michael Higgins, CEO | | |
| 22 | 11353 Reed Hartman Highway, Suite 100 | | |
| 23 | Cincinnati, OH 45241 Respondent | | |
| 24 | Michael Higgins, CEO | | |
| 25 | National Child Support 11353 Reed Hartman Highway, Suite 100 | | |
| 26 | Cincinnati, OH 45241 Respondent | | |

| 1 | |
|----|--|
| 2 | Michael J. Fuller, Esq. 3030 North Third Street, Suite 200 |
| 3 | Phoenix, Arizona 85012 Attorneys for Respondent |
| 4 | Douglas A. Schwarz, Esq. |
| 5 | 3414 Edwards Rd., Suite 7 Cincinnati, OH 45208 |
| 6 | Attorneys for Respondent |
| 7 | # 2379894 |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| | |