APR 1 1 2013

DEPT. OF INSURANCE

REPORT OF TARGET MARKET CONDUCT EXAMINATION

OF

UNITRIN DIRECT INSURANCE COMPANY

NAIC #10226

AS OF

June 30, 2012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

AFFIDAVIT	4
FOREWORD	5
SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY	6
HISTORY OF THE COMPANY	7
PROCEDURES REVIEWED WITHOUT EXCEPTION	8
EXAMINATION REPORT SUMMARY	8
RESULTS OF PREVIOUS MARKET CONDUCT EXAMINATIONS	10
UNDERWRITING AND RATING	11
CANCELLATIONS AND NON-RENEWALS	15
CLAIMS PROCESSING	19
SUMMARY OF FAILED STANDARDS	25
SUMMARY OF PROPERTY AND CASUALTY STANDARDS	26



Department of Insurance State of Arizona

Market Oversight Division Examinations Section Telephone: (602) 364-4994

Fax: (602) 364-2505

JANICE K. BREWER

Governor

2910 North 44th Street, 2nd Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85018-7269 GERMAINE L. MARKS
Director of Insurance

www.id.state.az.us

Honorable Germaine L. Marks Director of Insurance State of Arizona 2910 North 44th Street Suite 210, Second Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85018-7269

Dear Director Marks:

Pursuant to your instructions and in conformity with the provisions of the Insurance Laws and Rules of the State of Arizona, a desk examination has been made of the market conduct affairs of the:

Unitrin Direct Insurance Company NAIC #10226

The above examination was conducted by Helene I. Tomme, CPCU, CIE, Market Examinations Supervisor, Examiner-in Charge, and Linda L. Hofman, AIE, MCM, FLMI, AIRC, CCP, Market Conduct Senior Examiner and Christopher G. Hobert, CIE, MCM, FLMI, AIRC, CCP, Market Conduct Senior Examiner.

The examination covered the period of January 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012.

As a result of that examination, the following Report of Examination is respectfully submitted.

Sincerely yours,

Helene I. Tomme, CPCU, CIE Market Examinations Supervisor

Market Oversight Division

AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF ARIZONA)	
)	SS.
County of Maricopa)	

Helene I. Tomme, CPCU, CIE being first duly sworn, states that I am a duly appointed Market Examinations Examiner-in-Charge for the Arizona Department of Insurance. That under my direction and with my participation and the participation of Linda L. Hofman, AIE, MCM, FLMI, AIRC, CCP, Market Conduct Senior Examiner and Christopher G. Hobert, CIE, MCM, FLMI, AIRC, CCP, Market Conduct Senior Examiner on the Examination of Unitrin Direct Insurance Company, hereinafter referred to as the "Company" was performed at the office of the Arizona Department of Insurance. A teleconference meeting with appropriate Company officials in Plymouth Meeting, Pennsylvania was held to discuss this Report, but a copy was not provided to management as the Examination was incomplete and had not yet been finalized. information contained in this Report, consists of the following pages, is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and that any conclusions and recommendations contained in and made a part of this Report are such as may be reasonably warranted from the facts disclosed in the Examination Report.

Helene I. Tomme, CPCU. Market Examinations Supervisor

Market Oversight Division

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 29 M day of January

My Commission Expires June 13, 2016

OFFICIAL WAL MARY M. K RMAN NOTARY PUBLIC - STATE OF ARIZONA MARICOPA COUNTY My Comm Extraes Juria 13, 2018

FOREWORD

This targeted market conduct examination report of the Unitrin Direct Insurance Company (herein referred to as, "Unitrin Direct", or the "Company"), was prepared by employees of the Arizona Department of Insurance (Department) as well as independent examiners contracting with the Department. A market conduct examination is conducted for the purpose of auditing certain business practices of insurers licensed to conduct the business of insurance in the state of Arizona. The Examiners conducted the examination of the Company in accordance with Arizona Revised Statutes (A.R.S.) §§ 20-142, 20-156, 20-157, 20-158 and 20-159. The findings in this report, including all work products developed in the production of this report, are the sole property of the Department.

The examination consisted of a review of the following Private Passenger Auto (PPA) business operations:

- 1. Complaint Handling
- 2. Marketing and Sales
- 3. Producer Compliance
- 4. Underwriting and Rating
- 5. Cancellations and Non-Renewals
- 6. Claims Processing

Certain unacceptable or non-complying practices may not have been discovered in the course of this examination. Additionally, findings may not be material to all areas that would serve to assist the Director.

Failure to identify or criticize specific Company practices does not constitute acceptance of those practices by the Department.

SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY

The examination of the Company was conducted in accordance with the standards and procedures established by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC) and the Department. The market conduct examination of the Company covered the period of January 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012 for business reviewed. The purpose of the examination was to determine the Company's compliance with Arizona's insurance laws, and whether the Company's operations and practices are consistent with the public interest. This examination was completed by applying tests to each examination standard to determine compliance with the standard. Each standard applied during the examination is stated in this report and the results are reported beginning on page 8.

In accordance with Department procedures, the Examiners completed a Preliminary Finding ("Finding") form on those policies, claims and complaints not in apparent compliance with Arizona law. The finding forms were submitted for review and comment to the Company representative designated by Company management to be knowledgeable about the files. For each finding the Company was requested to agree, disagree or otherwise justify the Company's noted action.

The Examiners utilized both examinations by test and examination by sample. Examination by test involves review of all records within the population, while examination by sample involves the review of a selected number of records from within the population. Due to the small size of some populations examined, examinations by test and by sample were completed without the need to utilize computer software.

File sampling was based on a review of underwriting and claim files that were systematically selected by using Audit Command Language (ACL) software and computer data files provided by the Company. Samples are tested for compliance with standards established by the NAIC and the Department. The tests applied to sample data will result in an exception ratio, which determines whether or not a standard is met. If the exception ratio found in the sample is, generally less than 5%, the standard will be considered as "met." The standard in the areas of procedures and forms use will not be met if any exception is identified.

HISTORY OF THE COMPANY

(Provided by the Company)

Unitrin Direct Insurance Company (the "Company" was originally incorporated as Union Automobile Indemnity Company in the state of Illinois March 21, 1995 and changed its name May 15, 2000. The home office address of the Company is One East Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60601. The principal executive office address of the Company is One East Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60601.

PROCEDURES REVIEWED WITHOUT EXCEPTION

The Examiners review of the following Company departments¹ or functions indicates that they appear to be in compliance with Arizona statutes and rules:

Complaint Handling

Marketing and Sales

Producer Compliance

EXAMINATION REPORT SUMMARY

The examination identified 9 compliance issues that resulted in 48 exceptions due to the Company's failure to comply with statutes and rules that govern all insurers operating in Arizona. These issues were found in three (3) of the six (6) sections of Company operations examined. The following is a summary of the Examiner's findings:

Underwriting and Rating

In the area of Underwriting and Rating, three (3) compliance issues are addressed in this Report as follows:

- The Company failed to correctly apply its Variable Acquisition Expense (VAE) factor on three (3) PPA surcharge policies and failed to apply its accident/violation point assignment to one (1) PPA surcharge policy for a total of four (4) surcharge policies which resulted in an overcharge of \$243.00.
- The Company failed to specify the length of time the authorization remains valid under the applicant authorization section of its PPA application. This resulted in one (1) exception.
- The Company failed to advise the individual or a person authorized to act on behalf of the individual that they are entitled to receive a copy of the authorization form on its PPA application. This resulted in one (1) exception.

¹ If a department name is listed there were no exceptions noted during the review.

Cancellation and Non Renewals

In the area of Cancellations and Non Renewals, two (2) compliance issues are addressed in this Report as follows:

- The Company failed to provide a compliant Summary of Rights, on 8 PPA non renewals and 3 PPA cancellations for underwriting reasons to its policyholders/insureds cancelled for an adverse underwriting decision for a total of 11 notices.
- The Company failed to include the unearned premium refunds with its policy cancellation notices on four (4) PPA cancellations for underwriting reasons.

Claims Processing

In the area of Claims Processing, four (4) compliance issues are addressed in this Report as follows:

- The Company failed to specify the length of time the authorization remains valid (shall be no longer than the duration of the claim) on two (2) claim authorization forms.
- The Company failed to advise the individual or a person authorized to act on behalf of the individual that they are entitled to receive a copy of the authorization form on two (2) claim authorization forms.
- The Company failed to include a fraud warning statement in at least 12-point type and/or incorrectly referenced the wrong state statute on nine (9) claim forms.
- The Company failed to correctly calculate and pay the appropriate tax, license registration and/or air quality fees on 14 PPA first/third party total loss settlements, which resulted in additional payments of \$1,622.60 (including interest).

FACTUAL FINDINGS

RESULTS OF PREVIOUS MARKET CONDUCT EXAMINATIONS

During the past five (5) years, there was one (1) Market Conduct Examination completed by California. No significant patterns of non-compliance were noted.

UNDERWRITING AND RATING	
	·

Private Passenger Automobile (PPA):

The Examiners reviewed 52 PPA New/Renewal Business files (including 2 sample files) out of a population of 3,771 and 52 PPA Surcharge files (including 2 sample files) out of a population of 2,145 during the examination period. This new/renewal and surcharge review included a total sample size of 104 PPA files from a total population of 5,916.

All new/renewal and surcharge files reviewed were to ensure compliance with Arizona Statutes and Rules.

The following Underwriting and Rating Standards were met:

#	STANDARD	Regulatory Authority
2	Disclosures to insureds concerning rates and coverage are accurate and timely.	A.R.S. §§ 20-259.01, 20-262, 20-263, 20- 264, 20-266, 20-267, 20-443, 20-2110
3	All forms and endorsements forming a part of the contract should be filed with the director (if applicable).	A.R.S. § 20-398
5	Policies and endorsements are issued or renewed accurately, timely and completely.	A.R.S. §§ 20-1120, 20- 1121, 20-1632 and 20- 1654
6	Rescissions are not made for non-material misrepresentations.	A.R.S. §§ 20-463, 20- 1109

The following Underwriting and Rating Standards failed:

#	STANDARD	Regulatory Authority
1	The rates charged for the policy coverage are in accordance with filed rates (if applicable) or the Company Rating Plan	A.R.S. §§ 20-341 through 20-385
4	All mandated disclosures are documented and in accordance with applicable statutes, rules and regulations, including, but not limited to, the Notice of Insurance Information Practices and the Authorization for Release of Information.	A.R.S. §§ 20-2104, 20- 2106, 20-2110 and 20- 2113

Underwriting and Rating, Standard #1 - failed

Preliminary Finding-001- Filing of Rates – During the Underwriting and Rating review, the Examiners identified rating errors in which the Company failed to correctly apply its Variable Acquisition Expense (VAE) factor on three (3) PPA surcharge policies and failed to correctly apply its Accident/Violation point assignment to one (1) PPA surcharge policy for a total of four (4) surcharge policies. This resulted in four (4) policyholders being overcharged, which is in violation of A.R.S. § 20-385.

PRIVATE PASSENGER AUTOMOBILE Manually Rated Surcharged Policies Summary of Findings – Standard 1 File Review

Failed to correctly apply VAE factor and Accident/Violation point assignment

A.R.S.	§	20-385

Files Reviewed	Reviewed	Exceptions	Request #
Variable Acquisition Expense (VAE)	12	3	008a
Accident/Violation	12	1	008a
Totals		4	
		Error Ratio	33%

A 33% error ratio does not meet the Standard; therefore, a recommendation is warranted.

Recommendation #1

Within 90 days of the filed date of this Report submit documentation to the Department that it has procedures and controls in place to apply all rates correctly to comply with Arizona Statutes and. Rules.

Subsequent Events: During the course of the Examination, the Company agreed with the Examiner's finding and made restitution payments of \$234.00 to all policyholders owed refunds. A copy of the letter of explanation and payment was sent to the Department prior to completion of the Examination.

Underwriting and Rating, Standard #4 - failed

Preliminary Finding-002— Underwriting Authorization Disclosures — The Examiners identified one (1) policy application (shown in the table below) where the Company failed to:

- specify the authorization remains valid one year from the date the authorization on the application is signed involving property or casualty insurance; and
- advise the individual or a person authorized to act on behalf of the individual that they are entitled to receive a copy of the authorization form.

This form fails to comply with A.R.S. § 20-2106(7)(b) and (9) and represent two (2) violations of the statute. The following table summarizes these application form findings.

	Form Description / Title	Form #	Statute Provision
	Arizona Automobile Insurance		
1	Application	UD PA 001 02 0307	7(b) and 9

UNDERWRITING FORMS

Failed to specify the authorization remains valid one year from the date authorization is signed on the application

Violation of A.R.S. § 20-2106(7)(b)

Population	Sample	# of Exceptions	% to Sample
N/A	N/A	1	N/A

Any error or exception identified in the areas of a procedure or forms use does not meet the Standard; therefore a recommendation is warranted.

UNDERWRITING FORMS

Failed to advise the individual or a person authorized to act on behalf of the individual that they are entitled to receive a copy of the authorization form

Violation of A.R.S. § 20-2106(9)

Population	Sample	# of Exceptions	% to Sample
N/A	N/A	1	N/A

Any error or exception identified in the areas of a procedure or forms use does not meet the Standard; therefore a recommendation is warranted.

Recommendation #2

Within 90 days of the filed date of this Report, provide the Department with documentation that Company procedures are in place so the application form listed above includes the following:

- specify the authorization remains valid one year from the date the authorization on the application is signed involving property or casualty insurance; and
- advise the individual or a person authorized to act on behalf of the individual that
 they are entitled to receive a copy of the authorization form, in accordance with
 the applicable state statute.

Subsequent Events: During the course of the Examination, the Company agreed with the Examiner's finding and corrected its PPA Application effective September 20, 2012. A corrected copy of this notice was provided to the Department prior to the completion of the Examination.

CANCELLATIONS AND NON-RENEWALS

Private Passenger Automobile (PPA):

The Examiners reviewed 52 PPA cancellation files for non-payment of premium (including 2 sample files) out of a population of 297, 16 PPA cancellation files for underwriting reasons out of a population of 16 and 22 PPA non renewals out of a population of 22. This cancellation, non renewal and declination review included a total sample size of 90 PPA files from a total population of 335.

All cancellation and nonrenewal files reviewed were to ensure compliance with Arizona Statutes and Rules.

The following Cancellation and Non Renewal Standards failed:

#	STANDARD	Regulatory Authority
1	Declinations, Cancellations and Non-Renewals shall comply with state laws and company guidelines including the Summary of Rights to be given to the policyholder and shall not be unfairly discriminatory.	A.R.S. §§ 20-448, 20- 2108, 20-2109, 20- 2110
2	Cancellations and Non-Renewal notices comply with state laws, company guidelines and policy provisions, including the amount of advance notice required and grace period provisions to the policyholder, nonrenewal based on condition of premises, and shall not be unfairly discriminatory.	A.R.S. §§ 20-191, 20-443, 20-448, 20-1631, 20-1632, 20-1632.01, 20-1651 through 20-1656

Cancellation and Nonrenewal, Standard #1 - failed

Preliminary Finding 003 – **Summary of Rights** – The Examiners identified 8 PPA non renewals and 3 PPA cancellations for underwriting reasons, cancelled for an adverse underwriting decision, which totaled 11 notices. These notices failed to provide a compliant Summary of Rights language to its policyholders, an apparent violation of A.R.S. §§ 20-2108, 20-2109 and 20-2110.

PRIVATE PASSENGER AUTOMOBILE

Summary of Findings – Standard 1 File Review Failed to Provide Compliant Summary of Rights

A.R.S. §§ 20-2108, 20-2109 and 20-2110

Files Reviewed	Population	Reviewed	Exceptions	Request #
PPA Non Renewals	22	22	8	007
PPA UW Reasons	16	16	3	010
Totals	38	38	11	
			Error Ratio	29%

A 29% error ratio does not meet the Standard; therefore, a recommendation is warranted.

Recommendation #3

Within 90 days of the filed date of this Report provide the Department with documentation that Company procedures are in place so that a compliant Summary of Rights is sent with all cancellation, non renewal or declination notices that involve an adverse underwriting decision by the Company.

Subsequent Events: During the course of the Examination, the Company agreed with the Examiner's finding that its Summary of Rights language needed to be updated. The Company advised it had implemented the changes effective March 17, 2011. A corrected copy of the notice was provided to the Department prior to the completion of the Examination.

Cancellation and Nonrenewal, Standard #2 - failed

Preliminary Finding 004 - Late Unearned Premium Refunds - The Company failed to include the unearned premium refund with the policy cancellation notice, which is sent out at least 10 days prior to the effective date of cancellation, to four (4) PPA policyholders. These represent violations of A.R.S. § 20-1632(A)(3).

PRIVATE PASSENGER AUTOMOBILE CANCELLATIONS

Failed to include the unearned premium refund with the policy cancellation notice Violation of A.R.S. § 20-1632(A)(3)

Population	Sample	# of Exceptions	% to Sample
12	12	4	33%

A 33% error ratio does not meet the Standard; therefore, a recommendation is warranted.

Recommendation #4

Within 90 days of the filed date of this report, provide the Department with documentation that Company procedures and controls are in place to ensure that the unearned premium refund is sent out at least 10 days prior to the effective date of the cancellation, in accordance with the applicable state statute.

Subsequent Events: During the course of the Examination, the Company agreed with the finding and provided corrective procedures that were implemented on November 27, 2012. These were provided to the Department prior to completion of the Examination.

	<u>CLAIMS P</u>	ROCESSING		

Private Passenger Automobile (PPA):

The Examiners reviewed 50 PPA claims closed without payment from a population of 98; 50 PPA paid claims from a population of 150; 20 total loss PPA claims out of a population of 20 and 34 PPA subrogation claims out of a population of 34. This claims review included a total sample size of 154 PPA claim files from a total population of 302.

All claim files reviewed were to ensure compliance with Arizona Statutes and Rules.

The Following Claim Standards were met:

#	STANDARD	Regulatory Authority
1	The initial contact by the Company with the claimant is within the required time frame.	A.R.S. § 20-461, A.A.C. R20-6-801
2	Timely investigations are conducted.	A.R.S. § 20-461, A.A.C. R20-6-801
4	Claim files are adequately documented in order to be able to reconstruct the claim.	A.R.S. §§ 20-461, 20-463, 20-466.03, A.A.C. R20-6-801
6	The Company uses reservation of rights and excess of loss letters, when appropriate.	A.R.S. § 20-461, A.A.C. R20-6-801
7	Deductible reimbursement to insured upon subrogation recovery is made in a timely and accurate manner.	A.R.S. §§ 20-461, 20- 462, A.A.C. R20-6-801
8	The Company responds to claim correspondence in a timely manner.	A.R.S. § 20-461, 20-462, A.A.C. R20-6-801
9	Denied and Closed Without Payment claims are handled in accordance with policy provisions and state law.	A.R.S. §§ 20-461, 20-462, 20-463, 20-466, 20-2110, A.A.C. R20-6-801
10	No insurer shall fail to fully disclose to first party insureds all pertinent benefits, coverages or other provisions of an insurance policy or insurance contract under which a claim is presented.	A.A.C. R20-6-801
11	Adjusters used in the settlement of claims are properly licensed.	A.R.S. §§ 20-321 through 20-321.02

The following Claim Standards failed:

#	STANDARD	Regulatory Authority
3	The Company claim forms are appropriate for the type of product and comply with statutes, rules and regulations.	A.R.S. §§ 20-461, 20- 466.03, 20-2106, A.A.C. R20-6-801
5	Claims are properly handled in accordance with policy provisions and applicable statutes, rules and regulations.	A.R.S. §§ 20-268, 20- 461, 20-462, 20-468, 20- 469 and A.A.C. R20-6- 801

Claims Processing Standard #3 – failed

Preliminary Finding-007— **Disclosure Authorization Forms**— Claims — The Examiners identified two (2) claim authorization forms (shown in the table below) where the Company failed to:

- specify the authorization remains valid for no longer than the duration of the claim; and
- advise the individual or a person authorized to act on behalf of the individual that they are entitled to receive a copy of the authorization form.

These forms fail to comply with A.R.S. § 20-2106 (8)(b) and (9) and represent four (4) violations of the statute. The following table summarizes these authorization form findings.

	Form Description / Title	Form #	Statute Provision
1	Arizona Application for Benefits	Unknown	8(b) and 9
2	Authorization to Disclose Health Information (HIPAA)	Unknown	8(b) and 9

CLAIM FORMS

Failed to specify the authorization remains valid for no longer than the duration of the claim Violation of A.R.S. § 20-2106(8)(b)

Population	Sample	# of Exceptions	% to Sample
N/A	N/A	2	N/A

Any error or exception identified in the areas of a procedure or forms use does not meet the Standard; therefore a recommendation is warranted.

CLAIM FORMS

Failed to advise the individual or a person authorized to act on behalf of the individual that they are entitled to receive a copy of the authorization form

Violation of A.R.S. § 20-2106(9)

Population	Sample	# of Exceptions	% to Sample
N/A	N/A	2	N/A

Any error or exception identified in the areas of a procedure or forms use does not meet the Standard; therefore a recommendation is warranted.

Recommendation #5

Within 90 days of the filed date of this Report, provide the Department with documentation that Company procedures are in place so the authorization forms listed above include the following

- specify the authorization remains valid for no longer than the duration of the claim; and
- advises the individual or a person authorized to act on behalf of the individual that the individual or the individual's authorized representative is entitled to receive a copy of the authorization form, in accordance with the applicable state statute.

Subsequent Events: During the course of the Examination, the Company agreed with the finding and provided a corrected copy of the form now in use to the Department prior to completion of the Examination.

Claims Processing Standard #3 – failed

Preliminary Finding 008 – Fraud Warning Statement – The Company failed to provide nine (9) fraud warning statements in at least twelve (12) point type. These represent nine (9) violations of A.R.S. § 20-466.03. The following tables summarize the fraud warning statement findings.

Fraud Warning Statement

Failed to provide fraud warning statement in at least twelve (12) point type A.R.S. § 20-466.03

	Form Description / Title	Form Number
1	Arizona Application for Benefits	NA
2	Affidavit of No Additional Insurance	NA
3	Affidavit of Vandalism	NA
4	Authorization to Disclose Health Information (HIPPA)	NA
5	General Release	NA

6	Release of All Claims	NA
7	Uninsured Motorist Release	NA
8	Odometer Statement	NA
9	Power of Attorney	NA

CLAIM FORMS

Failed to provide fraud warning statement in at least twelve (12) point type Violation of A.R.S. § 20-466.03

Population	Sample	# of Exceptions	% to Sample
N/A	N/A	9	N/A

Any error or exception identified in the areas of a procedure or forms use does not meet the Standard; therefore a recommendation is warranted.

Recommendation #6

Within 90 days of the filed date of this Report, provide the Department with documentation that when referencing a state statute the correct one is provided as well as the required fraud warning statement be in 12-point type. This would include each of the claim forms cited above, in accordance with the applicable state statutes.

Subsequent Events: During the course of the Examination, the Company agreed with the finding. The Company switched to the Claims Center system in March 2012 and all forms reviewed on the new system by the Examiners appeared to be in compliance.

Claims Processing Standard #5 - failed

Preliminary Finding 006 –Total Loss Taxes and Fees - The Examiners identified 14 first/third party total loss settlements, in which the Company failed to correctly calculate and pay appropriate tax, license registration and/or air quality fees, which resulted in an additional payment of \$1,622.60 (including interest). This is an apparent violation of A.R.S. §§ 20-461(A)(6), 20-462(A) and A.A.C. R20-6-801 (H)(1)(b).

PRIVATE PASSENGER AUTOMOBILE TOTAL LOSS CLAIMS

Failed to correctly calculate and pay appropriate tax, license registration and/or air quality fees on total loss settlements

A.R.S. §§ 20-461(A)(6), 20-462(A) and A.A.C. R20-6-801 (H)(1)(b)

Population	Sample	# of Exceptions	% to Sample
22	22	14	64%

A 64% error ratio does not meet the Standard; therefore, a recommendation is warranted

Recommendation #7

Within 90 days of the filed date of this Report provide documentation to the Department to show that the Company's procedures have been corrected to comply with Arizona Statutes and Rules when processing total loss settlements for First and Third Parties.

Subsequent Events: During the course of the Examination, the Company agreed with the incorrect settlement of 14 first/third party total losses and made restitution payments to the parties affected in the amount of \$1,499.44 plus \$123.16 in interest for a total of \$1,622.60. Copies of letters of explanation and payments were sent to the Department prior to completion of the Examination.

SUMMARY OF FAILED STANDARDS

EXCEPTIONS	Rec. No.	Page No.
UNDERWRITING AND RATING		
Standard #1 The rates charged for the policy coverage are in accordance with filed rates (if applicable) or the Company Rating Plan	1	13
Standard #4		
All mandated disclosures are documented and in accordance with applicable statutes, rules and regulations, including, but not limited to, the Notice of Insurance Information Practices and the Authorization for Release of Information.	2	14
CANCELLATIONS AND NON RENEWALS		
Standard #1		
Declinations, Cancellations and Non-Renewals shall comply with state laws and company guidelines including the Summary of Rights to be given to the policyholder and shall not be unfairly discriminatory.	3	17
Standard #2		
Cancellations and Non-Renewal notices comply with state laws, company guidelines and policy provisions, including the amount of advance notice required and grace period provisions to the policyholder, nonrenewal based on condition of premises, and shall not be unfairly discriminatory.	4	18
CLAIM PROCESSING		
Standard #3 The Company claim forms are appropriate for the type of product and comply with statutes, rules and regulations.	5	22
Standard #3		
The Company claim forms are appropriate for the type of product and comply with statutes, rules and regulations	6	23
Standard #5		
Claims are properly handled in accordance with policy provisions and applicable statutes, rules and regulations.	7	24

SUMMARY OF PROPERTY AND CASUALTY STANDARDS

Complaint Handling

#	STANDARD	PAGE	PASS	FAIL
1	The Company takes adequate steps to finalize and dispose of the complaints in accordance with applicable statutes, rules, regulations and contract language. (A.R.S. § 20-461 and A.A.C. R20-6-801)	8	X	
2	The time frame within which the Company responds to complaints is in accordance with applicable statutes, rules and regulations. (A.R.S. § 20-461 and A.A.C. R20-6-801)	8	X	

Marketing and Sales

#	STANDARD	PAGE	PASS	_ FAIL
1	All advertising and sales materials are in compliance with applicable statutes, rules and regulations. (A.R.S. §§ 20-442 and 20-443)	8	X	

Producer Compliance

#	STANDARD	PAGE	PASS	FAIL
1	The producers are properly licensed in the jurisdiction where the application was taken. (A.R.S. §§ 20-282, 20-286, 20-287 and 20-311 through 311.03)	8	X	
2	An insurer shall not pay any commission, fee, or other valuable consideration to unlicensed producers. (A.R.S. § 20-298)	8	X	

Underwriting and Rating

#	STANDARD	PAGE	PASS	FAIL
1	The rates charged for the policy coverage are in accordance with filed rates (if applicable) or the Company Rating Plan. (A.R.S. §§ 20-341 through 20-385)	12	·	X

#	STANDARD	PAGE	PASS	FAIL
2	Disclosures to insureds concerning rates and coverage are accurate and timely. (A.R.S. §§ 20-259.01, 20-262, 20-263, 20-264, 20-266, 20-267 and 20-2110)	12	X	
3	All forms and endorsements forming a part of the contract should be filed with the director (if applicable). (A.R.S. § 20-398)	12	X	
4	All mandated disclosures are documented and in accordance with applicable statutes, rules and regulations, including, but not limited to, the Notice of Insurance Information Practices and the Authorization for Release of Information. (A.R.S. §§ 20-2104, 20-2106, 20-2110 and 20-2113)	12		Х
5	Policies and endorsements are issued or renewed accurately, timely and completely. (A.R.S. §§ 20-1120, 20-1121, 20-1632 and 20-1654)	12	X	
6	Rescissions are not made for non-material misrepresentations. (A.R.S. §§ 20-463 and 20-1109)	12	X	

Declinations, Cancellation and Non-Renewals

#	STANDARD	PAGE	PASS	FAIL
1	Declinations, Cancellations and Non-Renewals shall comply with state laws and company guidelines including the Summary of Rights to be given to the policyholder and shall not be unfairly discriminatory. (A.R.S. §§ 20-448, 20-2108, 20-2109 and 20-2110)	16		X
2	Cancellations and Non-Renewal notices comply with state laws, company guidelines and policy provisions, including the amount of advance notice required and grace period provisions to the policyholder, nonrenewal based on condition of premises, and shall not be unfairly discriminatory. (A.R.S. §§ 20-191, 20-443, 20-448, 20-1631, 20-1632, 20-1632.01, 20-1651 through 20-1656)	16		X

Claims Processing

#	STANDARD	PAGE	PASS	FAIL
1	The initial contact by the Company with the claimant is within the required time frame. (A.R.S. § 20-461 and A.A.C. R20-6-801)	20	X	
2	Timely investigations are conducted. (A.R.S. § 20-461, and A.A.C. R20-6-801)	20	X	74.44
3	The Company claim forms are appropriate for the type of product and comply with statutes, rules and regulations. (A.R.S. §§ 20-461, 20-466.03, 20-2106, and A.A.C. R20-6-801)	21		X
4	Claim files are adequately documented in order to be able to reconstruct the claim. (A.R.S. §§ 20-461, 20-463, 20-466.03 and A.A.C. R20-6-801)	20	X	
5	Claims are properly handled in accordance with policy provisions and applicable statutes, rules and regulations. (A.R.S. §§ 20-268, 20-461, 20-462, 20-468, 20-469 and A.A.C. R20-6-801)	21		X
6	The Company uses reservation of rights and excess of loss letters, when appropriate. (A.R.S. § 20-461 and A.A.C. R20-6-801)	20	X	
7	Deductible reimbursement to insured upon subrogation recovery is made in a timely and accurate manner. (A.R.S. §§ 20-461, 20-462 and A.A.C. R20-6-801)	20	X	
8	The Company responds to claim correspondence in a timely manner. (A.R.S. § 20-461, 20-462 and A.A.C. R20-6-801)	20	X	
9	Denied and closed without payment claims are handled in accordance with policy provisions and state law. (A.R.S. §§ 20-461, 20-462, 20-463, 20-466, 20-2110 and A.A.C. R20-6-801)	20	x	
10	No insurer shall fail to fully disclose to first party insureds all pertinent benefits, coverages, or other provisions of an insurance policy or insurance contract under which a claim is presented. (A.A.C. R20-6-801)	20	X	
11	Adjusters used in the settlement of claims are properly licensed (A.R.S. §§ 20-321 through 20-321.02)	20	X	