State of Arizona Department of Insurance and Financial Institutions FILED April 5, 2021 by AS

1 STATE OF ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE AND FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS 2 In the Matter of: No. 21A-029-INS 3 ROSALES, ARTURO JR. **CONSENT ORDER** 4 (National Producer No. 16212992) 5 PREMIER BAIL BONDS INC dba DIDN'T DO IT BAIL BONDS 6 (National Producer No. 17943020)

Respondents.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

7

The Arizona Department of Insurance and Financial Institutions ("Department") has received evidence that Arturo Rosales JR ("Rosales") and Premier Bail Bonds INC dba Didn't Do It Bail Bonds ("Premier") (collectively "Respondents") violated provisions of Title 20, Arizona Revised Statutes ("A.R.S"). Respondents wish to resolve this matter without the commencement of formal proceedings, and admit the following Finding of Fact are true, and consent to the entry of the following Conclusions of Law and Order.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Rosales is, and was at all material times, licensed as an Arizona bail bond agent. Rosales' license, number 16212992, was last renewed on March 1, 2019, and is scheduled to expire on February 28, 2023.
- 2. Rosales' business address of record with the Department is 210 North 43rd Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona 85009-4624. Rosales' mailing address of record is C/O Didn't Do It Bail Bonds, 1837 South Mesa Drive, Suite A200, Mesa, Arizona 85210-6219. Rosales' business e-mail address of record with the Department is

- 2 3. Premier is, and was at all material times, licensed as an Arizona business entity bail bond agent. Premier's license, number 17943020, was last renewed on June 16, 2020 and is scheduled to expire on May 31, 2024.
 - 4. Premier's business address of record with the Department is 1837 South Mesa Drive #A-200, Mesa, Arizona 85210. Premier's business e-mail address of record with the Department is asap.didntdoit@gmail.com.
 - 5. Denise Whitten ("Ms. Whitten"), license number 17901247, is the designated responsible licensed producer secretary, and treasurer of Premier. Rosales is listed as the owner of Premier.

Initial Consumer Complaint

- 6. On or about August 27, 2020, consumer R.T. submitted a written complaint to the Department alleging that Premier charged a \$3,000 fees for a bail bond. R.T. further alleged that on or about July 2020, the Respondents' recovery agents repossessed her vehicle.
- 7. On or about May 11, 2020, R.T. and a co-indemnitor contracted a \$10,000 bail bond with Premier. R.T. used her 2009 Ford Edge ("vehicle") as collateral for this bail bond. Premier listed the vehicle's value at \$5,000. On the date the bail bond was contracted, Premier presented R.T. with a copy of their bail bond fee schedule. Upon review of the fee schedule, the Department concluded that the only fee that was not actual and reasonable was one of the \$50 vehicle lien transfer fee.
 - 8. In the course of the bail bond contract, at R.T.'s request, the defendant was

Subsequent Consumer Complaint

15. On January 22, 2021, R.T. filed a second complaint against the Respondents. R.T. alleged that upon her attempt to pay the remaining outstanding fees, Premier informed her that they sold her vehicle.

surrendered to the custody of the Yavapai County Sheriff. During the defendant's surrender, Premier incurred fees totaling \$2,800.

- 9. On or about May 15, 2020, the co-indemnitor paid Premier \$200 towards the fees leaving a balance of \$2,600.
- 10. On or about June 9, 2020, the Respondents met with R.T. and the co-indemnitor to set up a payment schedule of \$100 per week to pay off the fee balance.
- 11. On or about June 23, 2020, R.T. paid to Premier \$100 towards the fees leaving a balance of \$2,500.
- 12. Respondents repossessed R.T.'s vehicle on or about July 2020 after she stopped making the agreed upon payments. Respondents charged R.T. an additional \$400 fee for repossession of her vehicle and \$100 in fees for two vehicle title lien transfers bringing the total fees owed to \$3,000.
- 13. The Department notified both R.T. and the Respondents of the investigation findings and that the total fees R.T. owed Premier were \$2,950. The Department further found that the Respondents were within their contractual obligation to take possession of R.T.'s vehicle which was posted as collateral.
 - 14. On September 14, 2020, the Department closed R.T.'s complaint.

16. On or about January 22, 2021, the Department sent an email to Respondents notifying them of R.T.'s complaint. The Department requested that by January 29, 2021, Premier produce a complete bail bond file, including the vehicle's bill of sale, sale price, and the court's bond exoneration order.

- 17. On January 22, 2021, Rosales spoke to the Department's investigator to discuss this new complaint. Rosales stated that R.T. contacted him on or about October 1, 2020 to make arrangements to pay the fees and arrange for the return of her vehicle. Rosales further stated that he advised R.T. that she would need to pay all outstanding fees in full before return of her vehicle. Rosales allowed R.T. to remove her personal belongings from the vehicle.
- 18. Rosales informed the Department that he sold the vehicle to a Premier employee. The employee was to make payments through payroll deduction until the vehicle was paid in full. Rosales explained that he ultimately repossessed the vehicle after the employee was terminated and stopped making payments on the vehicle. Rosales claimed that he invested funds to upgrade the vehicle and that the vehicle was now used for surveillance work.
 - 19. Rosales failed to produce requested documents by the January 29th deadline.
- 20. On February 9, 2021, the Department inquired with Respondent's failure to produce the required documents. Ms. Whitten responded to the Department's email that same day. Ms. Whitten explained that she believed the matter was being handled and that she will follow up with Premier's Phoenix Office about the matter.
 - 21. On February 9, 2021, the Department's investigator obtained the bail bond

- 22. On February 10, 2021, Rosales responded to the Department confirming that he failed to provide the previously requested documents and affirmed that he will provide all documents by the following day (February 11, 2021). Rosales failed to provide any documents by the end of February 11, 2021.
- 23. On February 12, 2021, Rosales provided some of the requested documents. Rosales provided a written statement, repossession affidavit/bill of sale, and a copy of the driver license of Premier's former employee to whom Rosales claimed the vehicle was sold.
- 24. Upon review of the provided documents, the Department found that the bill of sale was recoded and notarized on October 24, 2020, which is thirty-two (32) days prior to the Court's exoneration of bond order. The Department confirmed the October 24, 2020 sale date with the Arizona Department of Motor Vehicles.
- 25. On February 12, 2021, during a telephone conference with the Department's investigator Rosales confirmed that the vehicle was sold to the former Premier's employee on October 24, 2020. Rosales further confirmed that he was not aware of the date of the Court's exoneration of bond order. According to Rosales the vehicle's sale price was commensurate with the fees owed by R.T.
- 26. The Department investigation discovered that while R.T.'s vehicle was in the Respondents' possession, Respondents' drove the vehicle approximately seven thousand (7,000) miles.
- 27. On February 23, 2021, the Department notified Respondents that they must remit \$2,050 to R.T. post haste as the Court had exonerated the bond on November 25, 2020

///

and Respondents sold the collateral thirty-two (32) days prior.

28. On March, 1, 2021, Respondents remitted the payment to R.T.

Violations

- 29. Respondents failed to receive the collateral in a fiduciary capacity and keep the collateral separate and apart from other funds, assets and property. The Respondents both operated and sold the collateral prior to the bond's exoneration in violation of Arizona Administrative Code ("A.C.C.") 20-6-601(E)(4)(a).
- 30. Respondents failed to return the collateral as soon as the obligation was discharged by the court, in violation of A.C.C. 20-6-601(E)(4)(b).
- 31. Respondents failed to issue a demand of payment for unpaid fees at the time the bond was exonerated, in violation of A.C.C. 20-6-601(E)(4)(c).
- 32. Respondents failed to keep complete records of all business done under the authority of their license by not maintaining the vehicle's sale price, employee payment contract, bond exoneration order issued by the court or a final demand for payment of fees in violation of A.C.C. 20-6-601(D)(8); A.R.S. §§ 20-290(A); and A.R.S. § 20-340.01(F)(8, 9, 10, 11).
- 33. Respondents failed to comply with request to produce documents, reports, or other materials by the January 29, 2021 deadline, in violation of A.R.S. § 20-157.01(A)
- 34. Respondents failed to submit an annual report to the Department listing all bail recovery agents employed, hired as independent contractors or otherwise used by the bail bond agent during the year, in violation of A.R.S. §§ 20-340.04(C) and A.R.S. § 13-3885(D).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Director of the Department ("Director") has jurisdiction over this matter.
- 2. Respondents conduct, as described above, constitutes a violation of Title 20 or any rule, subpoena or order of the Director, within the meaning of A.R.S. § 20-295(A)(2).
- 3. Respondents conduct, as described above, constitutes improperly withholding, misappropriating or converting any monies or properties received in the course of doing insurance business, in violation of A.R.S. § 20-295(A)(4).
- 4. Respondents conduct, as described above, constitutes using fraudulent, coercive or dishonest practices, or demonstrating incompetence, untrustworthiness or financial irresponsibility in the conduct of business in this state or elsewhere, within the meaning of A.R.S. § 20-295(A)(8).
- 5. Grounds exist for the Director to suspend for not more than twelve months or revoke Respondent's insurance producer license, pursuant to A.R.S. § 20-295(A).
- 6. Grounds exist, in addition to or instead of any suspension or revocation, for the Director to impose a civil penalty of not more than \$250.00 for each unintentional failure or violation up to an aggregate civil penalty of \$2,500.00, or impose a civil penalty of not more than \$2,500.00 for each intentional failure or violation, up to an aggregate civil penalty of \$15,000.00.

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. The bail bond license, National Producer No. 16212992, of **Arturo Rosales Jr** is suspended for 30 calendar days, effective April 1, 2021 through May 1, 2021.

- 6. Respondents acknowledge and agree that the acceptance of this Consent Order by the Director is solely to settle this matter and does not preclude the Department from instituting other proceedings as may be appropriate now or in the future. Furthermore, and notwithstanding any language in this Consent Order, this Consent Order does not preclude in any way any other state agency or officer or political subdivision of this state from instituting proceedings, investigating claims, or taking legal action as may be appropriate now or in the future relating to this matter or other matters concerning Respondents, including but not limited to violations of Arizona's Consumer Fraud Act. Respondents acknowledge that, other than with respect to the Department, this Consent Order makes no representations, implied or otherwise, about the views or intended actions of any other state agency or officer or political subdivision of the state relating to this matter or other matters concerning Respondents.
- 7. Respondents acknowledge that this Consent Order is an administrative action that the Department will report to the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC). Respondents further acknowledge that they must report this administrative action to any and all states in which they hold an insurance license and must disclose this administrative action on any license application.
- 8. Respondents waive all rights to seek an administrative or judicial review or otherwise to challenge or contest the validity of this Consent Order and its accompanying parts before any court of competent jurisdiction
 - 9. Arturo Rosales Jr represents that he is the owner of Premier Bail Bonds LLC

1	dba Didn't Do It Bail Bonds and, as such, is authorized to enter this Consent Order on its
2	behalf.
3	
4	Date Arturo Rosales Jr
5	(NPN License No. 16212992)
6	4/1/21
7	Date Premier Ball Bonds dba Didn't Do It Bail Bonds (NPN License No. 17943020)
8	Arturo Rosales Jr, Owner
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

1	COPY of the foregoing delivered by E-mail
2	this 6th day of April,2021, to:
	Arturo Rosales Jr
3	210 N 43 rd Ave
4	Phoenix, AZ 85009-4624 Request.didntdoitbailbonds@gmail.com
	art.didntdoit@gmail.com
5	Respondent
6	Premier Bail Bonds LLC dba Didn't Do It Bail Bonds
	1837 S Mesa DR #A-200
7	Mesa, AZ 85210
8	asap.didntdoit@gmail.com Respondent
	Trespondent
9	Denise Whitten
10	1837 S Mesa DR #A-200 Mesa, AZ 85210
10	asap.didntdoit@gmail.com
11	Designated Responsible Licensed Producer
10	for Premier Bail Bonds LLC
12	COPY of the foregoing delivered/emailed same date, to:
13	
	Deian Ousounov, Regulatory Legal Affairs Officer
14	Ana Starcevic, Paralegal Project Specialist Catherine M. O'Neil, Consumer Legal Affairs Office
15	Steven Fromholtz, Division Manager, Licensing Division
	Aqueelah Currie, Licensing Supervisor
16	Jeff Eavenson, Investigator
17	Linda Lutz, Legal Assistant, Licensing Division Arizona Department of Insurance
- /	100 North 15th Avenue, Suite 261
18	Phoenix, Arizona 85007-2630
19	
1)	Ana Starcevic for Francine Juarez
20	Traneme Juaiez
21	
_	
22	