STATE OF ARIZONA FILED

JUL 24 2019

1

2

3

4 5

6 7

8

11

10

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2223

2425

26

STATE OF ARIZONA

DEPT OF INSURANCE BY MEK 7/24/19

DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

In the Matter of:

YOGERST, VANIA (National Producer Number 18556246)

Respondent.

No. 18A-120-INS

ORDER

On July 19, 2019, the Office of Administrative Hearings, through Administrative Law Judge Thomas Shedden, issued an Administrative Law Judge Decision ("Recommended Decision"), received by the Director of the Department of Insurance ("Director") on July 22, 2019, a copy of which is attached and incorporated by this reference. The Director of the Department of Insurance has reviewed the Recommended Decision and enters the following Order:

- The Director adopts the Recommended Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Recommended Order.
- The Director revokes the Arizona resident insurance producer license of
 Vania Yogerst, National Producer Number 18556246, effective immediately.

NOTIFICATION OF RIGHTS

Pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes ("A.R.S.") § 41-1092.09, Respondent may request a rehearing with respect to this order by filing a written motion with the Director of the Department of Insurance within 30 days of the date of this Order, setting forth the basis for relief under A.A.C. R20-6-114(B). Pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-1092.09, it is not necessary to request a rehearing before filing an appeal to Superior Court.

1	Respondent may appeal the final decision of the Director to the Superior Court of
2	Maricopa County for judicial review pursuant to A.R.S. § 20-166. A party filing an appeal
3	must notify the Office of Administrative Hearings of the appeal within ten days after filing
4	the complaint commencing the appeal, pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-904(B).
5	DATED this Z3rday of July , 2019.
6	
7	
8	Keith A. Schraad, Director \ Arizona Department of Insurance
9	COPY of the foregoing mailed this, 2019, to:
10	Vania Yogerst 9318 N. 85 th Drive Peoria, AZ 85345 Respondent
11	
12	
13	Vania Yogerst 16404 N. Black Canyon Hwy #100
14	Phoenix, AZ 85053
15	Respondent
16	Office of Administrative Hearings 1740 West Adams St., Lower Level
17	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
18	COPY of the foregoing delivered, same date, to:
19	Mary Kosinski, Regulatory Legal Affairs Officer Catherine O'Neil, Consumer Legal Affairs Officer
20	Steven Fromholtz, Assistant Director – Consumer Protection Division Aqueelah Currie, Licensing Supervisor
21	Sharyn Kerr, Consumer Protection Division Arizona Department of Insurance 100 North 15 th Ave., Suite 102 Phoenix, Arizona 85007-2624
22	
23	COPY sent same date via electronic mail to:
24	Vania Yogerst
25	viewi002@yahoo.com Respondent

1	Deian Ousounov
2	Assistant Attorney General
	AdminLaw@azag.gov Attorney for the Department of Insurance
3	
4	Felicia DelSol Felicia.DelSol@azoah.com
5	Office of Administrative Hearings
6	Susan Hack
7	Susan.hack@azag.gov Attorney General Paralegal
8	
9	Francine Martinez
10	Francine Martinez
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	2

JUL 22 2019

DEPT. OF INSURANCE BY: MEK 7/22

IN THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

In the Matter of:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

Yogerst, Vania

(National Producer No. 18556246)

Respondent

No. 18A-120-INS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

HEARING: July 9, 2019

APPEARANCES: No one appeared for Respondent; Deian Ousounov, Esq. for the Department of Insurance

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thomas Shedden

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. On May 21, 2019, the Arizona Department of Insurance ("Department") issued a Notice of Hearing setting the above-captioned matter for hearing at 1:00 p.m. July 9, 2019.
- 2. No representative for Respondent Vania Yogerst appeared at the scheduled time and the matter was convened in her absence at about 1:43 p.m.
- 3. On or about October 2, 2017, Respondent submitted to the Department an application for an insurance producer's license. On that date the Department issued to Respondent License No. 18556246, which is scheduled to expire on April 30, 2021.
- 4. With her application, Respondent included a copy of her fingerprints.
- 5. The Department forwarded Respondent's fingerprints the Arizona Department of Public Safety to obtain a state and federal criminal records check. Respondent's fingerprints were illegible and not of sufficient quality for use in conducting the criminal records check.
- An applicant who submits illegible fingerprints is considered by the Department to have submitted an incomplete application.

30

- 7. In a letter dated March 16, 2018 that was sent to Respondent's mailing address of record, the Department informed Respondent that on or before April 6, 2018 she was required to submit to the Department a replacement set of fingerprints. With that letter, the Department included a blank fingerprint card, the appropriate form to complete, and a list of locations at which Respondent could be fingerprinted.
- 8. The Department did not receive from Respondent any response to its March 16, 2018 letter.
- 9. In a letter dated April 17, 2018 that was sent to Respondent's business address of record, the Department informed Respondent that it was preparing to initiate an administrative action against her license because she had failed to submit a full set of fingerprints.
- 10. The Department's April 17, 2018 letter also informed Respondent that on or before May 4, 2018, she was required to submit the replacement set of fingerprints, or in the alternative, she could surrender her license.
- 11. Respondent did not submit a replacement set of fingerprints and she did not surrendered her license.
- 12. The Department requested that Respondent's license be revoked.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Department bears the burden of persuasion. ARIZ. REV. STAT. § 41-1092.07(G)(2).
- 2. The standard of proof on all issues is that of a preponderance of the evidence. ARIZ. ADMIN. CODE § R2-19-119.
- 3. A preponderance of the evidence is:

The greater weight of the evidence, not necessarily established by the greater number of witnesses testifying to a fact but by evidence that has the most convincing force; superior evidentiary weight that, though not sufficient to free the mind wholly from all reasonable doubt, is still sufficient to incline a fair and impartial mind to one side of the issue rather than the other.

BLACK'S LAW DICTIONARY 1373 (10th ed. 2014).

- 4. With her application, Respondent was required to submit to the Department a complete set of fingerprints. Respondent's submission of fingerprints did not satisfy this requirement because the quality was not sufficient for the Arizona Department of Public Safety to conduct the required state and federal criminal records check. See ARIZ. REV. STAT. § 20-285(E)(2).
- 5. Because Respondent did not submit fingerprints of sufficient quality, her application is incomplete, which is a violation of ARIZ. REV. STAT. section 20-295(A)(1).
- 6. Respondent's conduct constitutes a violation of ARIZ. REV. STAT., Title 20, which provides grounds for the Director of the Department to revoke Respondent's license. ARIZ. REV. STAT. § 20-295(A)(2).

RECOMMENDED ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that Respondent Vania Yogerst's License No. 18556246 is revoked.

In the event of certification of the Administrative Law Judge Decision by the Director of the Office of Administrative Hearings, the effective date of the Order will be five days after the date of that certification.

Done this day, July 19, 2019.

/s/ Thomas Shedden
Thomas Shedden
Administrative Law Judge

Transmitted electronically to:

Keith A. Schraad, Director Arizona Department of Insurance