STATE OF ARIZONA

MAR 23 2011

DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

DEPT OF INSURANCE

In the Matter of:	BY ARV
)	No. 10A-201-INS
MCDONALD, YVETTE DENISE,	
(License number # 957461)	CONSENT ORDER
(NPN # 15464374))
)	Hearing 3/24/2011, 8:00 a.m.
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ALJ Lewis Kowal
Respondent)	
1	1

The State of Arizona Department of Insurance ("Department") has received evidence that **Yvette Denise McDonald** has violated provisions of Title 20, Arizona Revised Statutes ("A.R.S."). Respondent wishes to resolve this matter without the commencement of formal proceedings, and admits the following Findings of Fact are true and consents to entry of the following Conclusions of Law and Order.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Yvette Denise McDonald ("Respondent") is, and was at all material times licensed as a resident property and casualty producer, Arizona license number 957461, which expires June 30, 2013.
- 2. Respondent's mailing, business and residence addresses of record with the Department are: 4425 East Cotton Center Blvd., Phoenix, Arizona 85040 (business and mailing); 11016 W. Amelia Ave., Avondale, Arizona 85392 (residence).
- 3. On or about December 15, 2009, the Department issued to Respondent an insurance license as a resident property and casualty producer, Arizona license number 957461.
- 4. On June 15, 2010, the Department notified Respondent by mail at her business/mailing address of record that her fingerprint card had been processed and returned by the Arizona Department of Public Safety (DPS) as illegible. The Department requested a replacement set of fingerprints along with a completed "Illegible Fingerprint Replacement Form" on or before July 15, 2010.

- On September 9, 2010, the Department notified Respondent a second time by mail at her residence address of record that it was about to initiate an administrative action against her license for failure to comply with the fingerprint requirement. The Department
- To date, Respondent has not submitted a full set of fingerprints to the

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- The Director has jurisdiction over this matter.
- Respondent's conduct as described above constitutes the violation of the requirement that an applicant submit a full set of fingerprints to the Department within the
- Respondent's conduct as described above constitutes providing incomplete information in the license application within the meaning of A.R.S. § 20-295(A)(1).
- Respondent's conduct as described above constitutes the violation of any provision of A.R.S. Title 20 or any rule, subpoena or order of the director within the meaning of
- Grounds exist for the Director to suspend, revoke, or refuse to renew Respondent's insurance license, impose a civil penalty and/or order restitution pursuant to

- Respondent shall immediately submit to the Department a full set of fingerprints
- Respondent shall immediately pay to the Department a civil money penalty in the

23

3. The hearing, Docket #10A-201-INS, scheduled for March 24, 2011, at 8:00 a.m. is vacated.

DATED AND EFFECTIVE this 23 day of Mouch, 2011.

Dorma Mand CHRISTINA URIAS Director of Insurance

CONSENT TO ORDER

- Respondent has reviewed the foregoing Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order.
- Respondent admits to the jurisdiction of the Director of Insurance, State of
 Arizona, and admits the foregoing Findings of Fact and consents to the entry of the foregoing
 Conclusions of Law and Order.
- 3. Respondent is aware of her right to notice and a hearing at which she may be represented by counsel, present evidence and examine witnesses. Respondent irrevocably waives her right to such notice and hearing and to any court appeals relating to this Consent Order.
- 4. Respondent states that no promise of any kind or nature whatsoever, except as expressly contained in this Consent Order, was made to her to induce her to enter into this Consent Order and that she has entered into this Consent Order voluntarily.
- 5. Respondent acknowledges that the acceptance of this Consent Order by the Director is solely to settle this matter against her and does not preclude any other agency, officer, or subdivision of this state including the Department from instituting civil or criminal proceedings as may be appropriate now or in the future not related to this matter.

Respondent acknowledges that this Consent Order is an administrative action 6. 1 that the Department will report to the National Association of Insurance Commissioners 2 (NAIC). Respondent further acknowledges that she must report this administrative action to 3 any and all states in which she holds an insurance license and must disclose this 4 administrative action on any license application. 5 Mutte M Elorald Y/ette Denise McDonald, Arizona License # 957461 6 7 attached letter of explanation 8 9 COPIES of the foregoing mailed/delivered this 2319 day of Month, 2011, to: 10 11 Yvette Denise McDonald 12 11016 W. Amelia Ave. Avondale, Arizona 85392 13 Respondent 14 Yvette Denise McDonald 4425 East Cotton Center Blvd. 15 Phoenix, Arizona 85392 Respondent 16

Mary E. Kosinski, Exec. Assistant for Regulatory Affairs 19 Catherine M. O'Neil, Consumer Legal Affairs Officer Steven Fromholtz, Licensing Supervisor 20 Department of Insurance 2910 North 44th Street, Suite 210 21 Phoenix, Arizona 85018

Lewis Kowal, Administrative Law Judge Office of Administrative Hearings

Scott Carley

Progressive Insurance 6300 Wilson Mills Rd.

Mayfield Village, OH 44143

17

18

22

23

1400 West Washington, Suite 101 Phoenix, AZ 85007

Curvey Walters Burton

Letter of Explanation

RE: Consent to Order License #957461 AZ

623-936-0866

To Whom It May Concern:

I was an actively employed Captive Agent of Progressive Insurance Company at the time of this Consent to Order and the fingerprints I forwarded to Progressives Licensing department on October 4, 2010 were never appropriately given to the State of Arizona by them.

I did not find out the fingerprints were never given to the State of Arizona Department of Insurance until I received a certified mailing in January 2011 with a Hearing date scheduled and a letter explaining that the Arizona Department of Insurance didn't get the fingerprints as requested.

Progressive Insurance Company has paid the \$250 fine outlined in this Consent to Order.

Milonald 3/23/2011