STATE OF ARIZONA FILED

DEC 6 2010

# STATE OF ARIZONA

DEPT OF INSURANCE BY

#### DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

In the Matter of:

No. 10A-093-INS

HOLGUIN, JOSE LUIS (JR), (Arizona License No. 948789) (NPN # 10853546)

ORDER

Respondent.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

On November 22, 2010, the Office of Administrative Hearings, through

Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") Lewis D. Kowal, issued an Administrative Law Judge

Decision ("Recommended Decision"), received by the Director of the Department of

Insurance ("Director") on November 23, 2010, a copy of which is attached and incorporated
by this reference. The Director of the Department of Insurance has reviewed the

Recommended Decision and enters the following Order:

- 14
- The Director adopts the Recommended Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law of the Recommended Decision.

1516

17

2. The Director revokes Respondent's Arizona producer's license, effective immediately.

18

19

20

# NOTIFICATION OF RIGHTS

21 22 Pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes ("A.R.S.") § 41-1092.09, Respondent may request a rehearing with respect to this order by filling a written motion with the Director of the Department of Insurance within 30 days of the date of this Order, setting forth the basis for relief under A.A.C. R20-6-114(B). Pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-1092.09, it is not necessary to request a rehearing before filing an appeal to Superior Court.

23

Respondent may appeal the final decision of the Director to the Superior Court of Maricopa County for judicial review pursuant to A.R.S. § 20-166. A party filing an appeal

24

25

26

1	must notify the Office of Administrative Hearings of the appeal within ten days after filing
2	the complaint commencing the appeal, pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-904(B).
3	DATED this 3rd day of December, 2010.
4	$A_{i} = A_{i}$
5	CHRISTINA URIAS, Director
6	Arizona Department of Insurance
7	
8	COPY of the foregoing mailed this, 2010 to:
9	Office of Administrative Hearings 1400 West Washington, Suite 101 Phoenix, Arizona 85007
11	Mary Butterfield, Assistant Director
12	Mary Kosinski, Exec. Asst. for Regulatory Affairs Catherine O'Neil, Consumer Legal Affairs Officer
13	Steven Fromholtz, Licensing Administrator Arizona Department of Insurance
14	2910 North 44th Street, Suite 210 Phoenix, Arizona 85018
15	Jose Luis Holguin
16	6230 E. Glencove St., #2 Mesa, Arizona 85205
17	Respondent
18	
19	Curvey Burton
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

NOV 2 3 2010

#### IN THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE INSURANCE DEPT.

In the Matter of:

Jose Luis Holguin, Jr

(NPN No. 10853546)

Respondent.

2 3

1

4 (Arizona License No. 948789)

6 7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

26

25

28

27

29 30 No. 10A-093-INS

**ADMINISTRATIVE** LAW JUDGE DECISION

HEARING: November 17, 2010

APPEARANCES: Special Assistant Attorney General Mary Kosinski on behalf of the Arizona Department of Insurance; Jose Luis Holguin, Jr. did not appear at the hearing.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lewis D. Kowal

# FINDINGS OF FACT

- At all times material to this matter, Jose Luis Holquin, Jr. ("Respondent") was 1. and currently is licensed by the Arizona Department of Insurance ("Department") as an accident/health and life producer.
- On August 7, 2009, the Department issued Respondent an accident/health and life producer license, license number 948789 ("License"), which expires on August 31, 2013.
- Steven Fromholtz ("Mr. Fromholtz"), Producer Licensing Administrator of the 3. Licensing Section of the Department, testified that when an application for a producer's license is received and processed, it is forwarded to the Arizona Department of Public Safety ("DPS") for processing. This includes processing by the Federal Bureau of Investigation for a criminal history background check to be conducted.

Office of Administrative Hearings 1400 West Washington, Suite 101 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 (602) 542-9826

4. The Department received a report back from DPS advising, in effect, that the fingerprints submitted were illegible and a criminal history background check could not be performed based upon the fingerprints.

- 5. On September 23, 2009, the Department issued a letter to Respondent that was mailed to Respondent's business/mailing address, informing Respondent that the Respondent's fingerprint card could not be processed and was returned by DPS as illegible. In that letter, the Department requested that Respondent submit a replacement set of fingerprints and enclosed a blank Illegible Replacement Fingerprint Form. The Department provided a deadline of October 24, 2009, for the return of the completed replacement fingerprint form.
- 6. Because the Department did not receive the requested replacement fingerprint form from Respondent as requested, the Department sent another letter to Respondent at Respondent's residential address on December 28, 2009, giving Respondent a deadline of January 31, 2010, to file an a new set of fingerprints and an Illegible Replacement Fingerprint Form with the Department or to submit a Voluntary Surrender of License Form with the Department. The Department indicated that the failure to respond to the letter would result in the initiation of disciplinary action being taken against Respondent's license.
- 7. Mr. Fromholtz testified that the Department has not received any change of address notification from Respondent and that the above-mentioned letters were sent to the addresses of record the Department had for Respondent.
- 8. Mr. Fromholtz testified that to date the Respondent has not responded to the above-mentioned letters and has not submitted to the Department a new set of fingerprints.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

1. This matter is a disciplinary proceeding wherein the Department must prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Respondent violated the State's Insurance Laws. See A.AC. R2-19-119.

- 2. During the application process, the Director of the Department required Respondent to submit a full set of fingerprints, and Respondent's illegible fingerprint submission did not satisfy that requirement. See A.R.S. § 20-285(E)(2).
- 3. Respondent's conduct, as set forth above, constitutes a violation of A.R.S. § 20-295(A)(1) by having failed to provide complete information in the license application.
- 4. Respondent's conduct, as set forth above, constitutes the violation of any provision of A.R.S., Title 20 within the meaning of A.R.S. § 20-295(A)(2).
- 5. Grounds exist for the Director of the Department to suspend, revoke, or refuse to renew the License pursuant to A.R.S. § 20-295(A).

## **ORDER**

Based upon the above, the License shall be revoked on the effective date of the Order entered in this matter.

Done this day, November 22, 2010.

/s/ Lewis D. Kowal Administrative Law Judge

Transmitted electronically to:

Christina Urias, Director Department of Insurance