STATE OF ARIZONA

MAR 2 2 2001

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

STATE OF ARIZONA

DEPT. OF INSURANCE

DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

Docket No. 01A-081-INS

CONSENT ORDER

HARTFORD INSURANCE COMPANY OF THE MIDWEST,

NAIC #37478.

In the Matter of:

Respondent

Examiners for the Department of Insurance (the "Department") conducted a market conduct examination of Hartford Insurance Company of the Midwest ("Hartford of the Midwest"). The Report of Examination of the Market Conduct Affairs of Hartford of the Midwest alleges that Hartford of the Midwest has violated A.R.S. §§ 20-263, 20-385, 20-400.01, 20-1631, 20-1632.01, 20-461, and A.A.C. R20-6-801.

Hartford of the Midwest wishes to resolve this matter without formal proceedings, neither admits or denies the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and consents to the entry of the following Order.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- Hartford of the Midwest is authorized to transact property and casualty 1. insurance, including workers compensation, pursuant to a Certificate of Authority issued by the Director.
- The Examiners were authorized by the Director to conduct a market 2. conduct examination of Hartford of the Midwest. The on-site examination was concluded on November 17, 1995. Based on the findings the Examiners prepared the

"Report of Examination of Hartford Insurance Company of the Midwest" dated November 17, 1995.

- 3. The Examiners reviewed 172 first party automobile total loss claims paid by the Company during the time frame of the examination and found that Hartford of the Midwest failed to include any or all applicable sales taxes or license fees and undocumented deductions on ten claim files. These insureds were underpaid by \$494.13.
- 4. The Examiners reviewed 1,746 personal automobile policies that had effective dates during the time frame of the examination and found as follows:
- a. Hartford of the Midwest canceled 11 policies where it required a portion or all of the renewal premium to be paid prior to the renewal term.
- b. Hartford of the Midwest canceled 73 policies where its notice indicated that the insured had only seven days after receipt of the notice to file an objection in writing with the Director. In addition, the notice stated that an objection should be accompanied by a deposit of ten dollars.
- c. Hartford of the Midwest renewed seven policies where it surcharged policyholders for accidents that were not substantially the insureds' fault and subsequently resulted in an increase in premium to the insureds. Five insureds were overcharged \$2,458.
- d. Hartford of the Midwest issued three policies where it surcharged policyholders for at-fault accidents that were not documented as significantly the insureds' fault. Three insureds were overcharged \$1,647.
- e. Hartford of the Midwest issued three policies where it failed to apply its filed at-fault accident surcharges under its SDIP program.

- 5. The Examiners reviewed 205 Commercial Package policies issued by the Company, which had effective dates during the time frame of the examination, and found as follows:
- a. Hartford of the Midwest issued five policies where the file contained no justification for the change in schedule credits/debits.
- b. Hartford of the Midwest issued 21 policies that were eligible for schedule rating but not considered.
- 6. The Examiners reviewed 194 Specialty policies issued by the Company which had effective during the time frame of the examination and found as follows:
- a. Hartford of the Midwest issued 20 policies which had no documentation of IRPM/schedule credits/debits given.
- b. Hartford of the Midwest issued two policies where it documented IRPM/Schedule credits that were different than what was used.
- c. Hartford of the Midwest issued 17 policies that contained no justification for change in credits/debits.
- d. Hartford of the Midwest issued 77 policies where it applied the IRPM Rating Plan as an "inappropriate pricing tool."
- e. Hartford of the Midwest issued 109 policies where it failed to apply the filed minimum premiums.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. By failing to include sales tax or license fees in the settlement of claims, Hartford of the Midwest violated A.A.C. R20-6-801(H)(1)(b) and A.R.S. § 20-461(A)(6).
- 2. By canceling personal automobile policies for non-payment and failing to give a seven-day grace period, Hartford of the Midwest violated A.R.S. § 20-1632.01(B).

- 13
- 15
- 17
- 19

21 22

23

24 25

- By canceling personal automobile policies for non-payment and failing to 2. give a seven-day grace period, Hartford of the Midwest violated A.R.S. § 20-1632.01(B).
- 3. By using the incorrect language on cancellation notices regarding the right to complain to the Director and by requiring a deposit of ten dollars, Hartford of the Midwest violated A.R.S. § 20-1631(A)(1).
- 4. By surcharging for non-at-fault accidents, Hartford of the Midwest violated A.R.S. § 20-263.
- By failing to apply correct surcharge fees, Hartford of the Midwest violated A.R.S. § 20-385.
- By issuing specialty lines and commercial package policies with 6. premiums developed other than on the basis of its rates and rules filed pursuant to A.R.S. § 20-385(A), Hartford of the Midwest violated A.R.S. § 20-400.01(A).
- By making adjustments to full manual premium developed for rating 7. specialty lines and commercial package policies without adequate justification for the adjustments, Hartford of the Midwest violated A.R.S. § 20-400.01(B).
- 8. Grounds exist for the entry of the following Order, in accordance with A.R.S. §§ 20-220, 20-400.03 and 20-456.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

- 1. Hartford of the Midwest shall cease and desist from:
- Failing to include applicable taxes or license fees on claims a. payments.
- Failing to provide a seven-day grace to personal automobile policies before canceling for non-payment of premium.

- c. Failing to notify canceled insureds of their right to complain to the Director of the insurer's action within ten days after receipt of the notice, and requiring a ten dollar deposit fee.
- d. Surcharging policyholders for accidents for which the insureds did not substantially contribute to the accident.
 - e. Failing to apply the filed surcharge fees.
- f. Failing to provide adequate documentation in support of the credits and debits applied to commercial package and specialty lines polices.
- g. Determining the premiums of its commercial package and specialty lines policies other than on the basis of its rates and rules filed.
- 2. Within 90 days of filed date of this Order, Hartford of the Midwest shall submit to the Arizona Department of Insurance, for approval, evidence that corrections have been implemented and communicated to the appropriate personnel, regarding all of the items listed above in the Paragraph 1 of the Order section of this Consent Order. Evidence of corrective action and communication thereof includes, but is not limited to, memos, bulletins, E-mails, correspondence, procedures manuals, print screens, and training materials.
- 3. Within 90 days of the filed date of this Order, Hartford of the Midwest shall pay \$494.13 in unpaid taxes and license fees plus one year's interest at the rate of ten percent per annum to the 10 first party total loss claimants listed in Exhibit A.
- 4. Within 90 days of the filed date of this Order, Hartford of the Midwest shall pay \$4,105.00 plus one year's interest at the rate of ten percent per annum for surcharges applied for non-at-fault accidents to the insureds listed in Exhibit B.
- 5. Each payment made in accordance with Items 3 and 4 above shall be accompanied by a letter of explanation to insureds in a form previously approved by

the Director. A list of payments, giving the name and address of each party paid, the amount of the payment, the amount of interest paid, and the date of payment, shall be provided to the Department within 90 days of the filed date of this Order.

- 6. The Department shall be permitted, through authorized representatives, to verify that Hartford of the Midwest has complied with all provisions of this Order.
- 7. Hartford of the Midwest shall pay a civil penalty of \$15,000 to the Director for deposit in the State General Fund in accordance with A.R.S. § 20-220(B). This civil penalty shall be provided to the Market Conduct Examinations Division of the Department prior to the filing of this Order.
- 8. The Report of Examination of the Market Conduct Affairs of Hartford Insurance Company of the Midwest dated November 17, 1995, including the letter submitted in response to the Report of Examination, shall be filed with the Department after the Director has filed this Order.

DATED at Phoenix, Arizona this day of March, 2001.

Charles R. Cohen Director of Insurance

EXHIBIT A FIRST PARTY AUTOMOBILE TOTAL LOSSES

			L	cense
Claim No.	Sales Tax			Fees
AC25493			\$	0.75
MD30275	\$	126.00		
AC40445				13.75
AC51114	\$	2.00		
AC58352	\$	16.67		
AC58701	\$	15.55		
AC62053	\$	56.10		13.75
MD70390	\$	198.96		
AC71273	\$	12.85		
Total	\$	428.13	\$	28.25

FIRST PARTY AUTOMOBILE TOTAL LOSSES Claim No. Undocumented Deduction

MD83512

\$37.75

TOTAL REFUNDS DUE: \$494.13

EXHIBIT B

PERSONAL AUTOMOBILE Surcharges for non-at-fault accidents

	Policy No.	Overcharge \$
The same of the sa	464576	\$190
PAD	426793	\$596
	456690	\$557
	456690	\$557
The second second		\$558
		\$680
17.7	431952	\$680
PAD	471037	\$287
	TOTAL:	\$4,105

CONSENT TO ORDER

- Hartford Insurance Company of the Midwest has reviewed the foregoing
 Order.
- 2. Hartford Insurance Company of the Midwest admits the jurisdiction of the Director of Insurance, State of Arizona, neither admits nor denies the foregoing Findings of Fact, and Conclusions of Law, and consents to the entry of the foregoing Order.
- 3. Hartford Insurance Company of the Midwest is aware of its right to a hearing, at which it may be represented by counsel, present evidence, and cross-examine witnesses. Hartford Insurance Company of the Midwest irrevocably waives its right to such notice and hearing and to any court appeals related to this Order.
- 4. Hartford Insurance Company of the Midwest states that no promise of any kind or nature whatsoever was made to it to induce it to enter into this Consent Order and that it has entered into this Consent Order voluntarily.
- 5. Hartford Insurance Company of the Midwest acknowledges that the acceptance of this Order by the Director of the Arizona Department of Insurance is solely for the purpose of settling this matter and does not preclude any other agency or officer of this state or its subdivisions or any other person from instituting proceedings, whether civil, criminal, or administrative, as may be appropriate now or in the future.
- 6. George Klotzbough , who holds the office of Vice President and Compliance Director of Hartford Insurance Company of the Midwest, is authorized to enter into this Order for it and on its behalf.

HARTFORD INSURANCE COMPANY OF THE MIDWES	HARTFORD	INSURANCE	COMPANY	OF	THE	MIDWES
--	----------	-----------	---------	----	-----	---------------

3/7/01	By:	Show !	Mothers
Date	, _		01

	COPY of the foregoing mailed/delivered
	This 22nd day of March 2001, to:
	3 Carra David
	Sara Begley Deputy Director
Į	Mary Butterfield
	Assistant Director
(Paul J. Hogan
7	
8	Market Conduct Examinations Division Deloris E. Williamson
9	Assistant Director
9	Rates & Regulations Division Steve Ferguson
10	Assistant Director
11	Financial Affairs Division Alexandra Shafer
12	Assistant Director
	Life & Health Division Nancy Howse
13	Chief Financial Examiner
14	Terry L Cooper
15	Fraud Unit Chief
16	
	DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE
17	2910 North 44th Street, Suite 210
18	Phoenix, AZ 85018
19	Sheila C. Ward, Regulatory Compliance Director HARTFORD INSURANCE COMPANY OF THE MIDWEST
20	Corporate Compliance Department Hartford Plaza
21	Hartford, Connecticut 06115
22	
23	Liney Buston
24	